

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully solicited.

Claims 44, 46, 49, and 51 are pending, with Claims 44 and 49 being independent. Claims 45, 47, 48, 50, 52, and 53 have been cancelled without prejudice. Claims 44 and 49 have been amended. The specification has been amended to attend to typographical matters. In particular, "fault mode" has been changed to --full-auto mode-- as per Fig. 7, reference numeral 704, and Fig. 9, step S20. Favorable consideration is earnestly solicited.

THIRD REQUEST FOR RETURN OF FORM PTO-1449

As an initial matter, Applicants again respectfully request return of the Form PTO-1449 from the December 16, 2005 Information Disclosure Statement. Attached is a copy of the Information Disclosure Statement for the Examiner's convenience. Favorable consideration in this regard is earnestly solicited.

FURTHER REMARKS

Claims 44 through 53 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over newly-cited EP 0619678 (Takahashi) in view of previously-cited U.S. Patent No. 5,625,410 (Washino, et al.). All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 44 and 49 variously recite, *inter alia*, displaying the three parameters (including frame rate, number of pixels, and compression ratio) (in combination with wireless image transmitting after application of the parameters).

However, Applicants respectfully submit that neither EP '678 nor Washino, et al., even in the proposed combination, assuming, *arguendo*, that the documents could be combined, discloses or suggests at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 44 and 49.

The Official Action relies, e.g., upon EP '678's page 8, lines 43-45, page 9, lines 14-20, and Figs. 6, 11, and 12, and states that such constitutes disclosure of the claimed parameter display. Such reliance is respectfully traversed. Applicants respectfully submit that, for example, EP '678 Fig. 11 is a table indicating a compression rate and recording data rate of each mode, but there is no disclosure or suggestion in EP '678 of displaying such a table let alone displaying the three parameters as claimed.

The Official Action states that EP '678 lacks wireless and therefore relies upon Washino, et al. for showing a satellite link. However, Applicant respectfully submits that Washino, et al. fails to remedy the deficiencies of EP '678 at least as regards displaying the three parameters.

Applicants further respectfully submit that there has been no showing of any indication of motivation in the cited documents that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the above-discussed claimed features.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims discussed above. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim is respectfully requested.

Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel S. Glueck/
Daniel S. Glueck
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 37,838

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

DSG/mcm

FCBS_WS 1536491v1